Peter-Weyl Theorem Chapters 4.5-4.7 of An Introduction to Lie Groups And Lie Algebras by Alexander Kirillor Jr. · We first want to show Kat a large cluss of representations (Unitary Representations) is Completely reducible.) (also called "semisimple") Deft A representation is called completely reducible (or semisimple) if it is isomorphic to a direct sure of irreducible reaucible representations: V = OVi, Vi irreducible (Note: not all representations are) (Note: not all representations are) completely reducible. Example: Find (Def 4.18 Example (Def 9.18 on pg. 65 of pdf) Example:

Deft A complex representation V	Defili
of a real Lie group G is called	ON q
Unitary if there is a G-invariant	Lie Group
inner product: (p(g) V, p(g)w)=(y,w)	·
or equivalently, p(g) e U(V) Vy e G.	

Let's try to unpack this definition as there are a lot of new terms that, although were previously covered, can still be hard to keep track of.

Def A complex representation V of a Real Lie GrapG is a vector space V together with a morphism p:G→GL(V), where VZC and G is a real Lie Group. i.e. that p(gh) = p(g)p(h) and p(i) = 1. (Analogous to what one may think) Lof a standard group homomorphism) G is a real lie group means that G has two structures, G is a group and is a manifold. (as opposed to a complex-analytic) [the lie group is over necl numbers].

Definition Vef A representation Vofa ona real Lie Algebrag is called unitary Lie Algebra if there is an inner product which is y = invariant: (p(x)v, w) + (v, p(x)w) = 0or equivalently, p(x) E U(V) Vxeg.

Example: Let V = F(S), which is the space of complex-valued functions on a finite set S. Let G be a finite group acting by permutations on S, then it also acts on V by (2.1). Then, $\{f_1, f_2\} = \sum f_i(s) f_i(s)$ is an invariant inner product, so such a representation is unitary.

So what? This enables us to prove that ... The Each unitary representation is Completely reducible. Pf You have a set of various cases: 1) Visirreducible > Vis completely by definition. 2) V has a subrepresentation W = V= WOWT and W+ is a subrepresentation By induction, we can show that at Still reeds work) Then Any representation of a finite group it withry. PFI Let B(v, w):= 1 El E B(gv, gw) $\widetilde{B}(hv,hw) = \frac{1}{161} \sum_{g \in G} B(ghv,ghw) = \frac{1}{161} \sum_{g' \in C} B(g'v,g'w)$ With these two theorems, Devery representation of a finite group is Completely reducible. Goal: Generalize Complete Reducibility to larger end larger spaces.

· In our proof that representations of Knite groups are completely irreducible, the strategy was to take an average for our Function. - This works well in Phile groups. Bit what if Gis a Lie Group? - Replace with an integral over G. Vefs Aright Haar measure on a real lie group Gisa Borel measure dg which is neartant under the right action of G on itself. Right invuriances => Sf(gh) dg = Sf(g) dg Yhe G and integrable Bucklon F. Left invariances => jf(hg)dg = ff(g)dg Construction of the Haar Measure: · Too Complicated. - fequires Knowledge of Measure Theory and of differential forms. (Neither of which I have a) background in

The Let G be a real Lie Group. I) G is orientable: moreover, the orientation Can be chosen so that the right action of G on itself preserves the orientation.

2) If G is compared, then for a fixed choice of right Invariant Drientation on G Here exists a migue right invariant top degree differential form w such that $S_G w = 1$.

3) The differential form w defined in the protous part is also left-invariant and invariant up to a sign under i: g=g-1; itw=G-1)dim Gw.

Think let G be a compact real lie group. Then it has a canonical Borel measure dy which is both left and right invariant and invariant under gragel and which suffishes SGdg=1. This measure is called the Haar measure on E and is usually denoted by dg. Remark, Bi-invariant Haar Measure Exists in not just every Lie Group but any Comput topological group Cuith some restrictions), [Though this is also beyond the meterial of this talk] Haar Measure Examples: · Let G=S'= R/2. Huar Measure dx = IR/Z. Fr general, writing an explicit Haar Measure for a group is difficult. · Usually complicated to write out a formula for. · Only really double when integrating consugation-invariant functions (Class Functions)

Example: G = U(n) f smooth function on G. such that flyhg")=flh) $\int_{U(n)} f(g) dg = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{T} f\begin{pmatrix} t_{i} \\ t_{n} \end{pmatrix} \prod_{i \in j} |t_{i} - t_{j}|^{2} dt.$ $T := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_n \end{pmatrix}, t_k = e^{i \frac{1}{k}} \right\}, \text{ is } \mathcal{H}_k$ Subgroup of diagonal matrices and $dt = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} d\Psi_1 \dots d\Psi_n$ is the Hear Measure Eclearly these examples can get very Emissy very fast Important Theorem Ihm Any finite-dimensional representation of a compact Lie Group is unitary and thes completely reducible.

PEILet B(V,W) be a positive definite Foner product in V.

 $B(v,w) = \int_{C} B(gv,gw) dg,$ dy is the Haar Measure on G. It is clear that $B(v,v) = \int_{C} B(gv,gv) dg s0$ Since B(gv,gv) > 0. Because Haar measures are tright-invariant, B(hv,hw) = B(v,w). By definition, this inner product is an invariant inner product, making this representation unitary. Hence, the representation is completely reducible. I

We have now gotten to a point where we have try broaden the scope of completely reducible representations. Obviously, we have just shown that any finite-dimension representation of a compact Lie Group is computily roducible.

In practice, hen de ue do an explicit decomposition into ÉniVi, niEZ+, Vi are pair wise non-isomorphic irreducible representations

From non on, assume G is a compact real Lie Group with Haar Measure dy.

Let vi be a basis in representation V. p(g): V=V in the basis Vi yields a matrix-valued Function on G. Each entry, which we will denote as matrix coefficients of the representation V, can be denoted by scalar-valued functions on G Pis (g) in each entry Important Facts: (Wan't prove for sake) (1) Let V, W be non-isomorphic irreducible representations of G. (*) Choose bases viel and waeW (azi,...,m) Vi, j, a, b the matrix coefficients Pij (g) and Pab are or thegonal (s.e. that (Pis(g), Pab) = 0. where C, > is the inner product on C°(G, E) given by $\langle f_{i}, f_{z} \rangle := \int_{\mathcal{G}} f_{i}(g) f_{z}(g) dg$. (2) Let V be an irreduible representation of G and let viev be an orthonormal busis with respect to the g-invariant Inver-product. Then, the matrix coefficients p;; (g) are pairwise or Hogenal, and each has horm squared $\frac{1}{\dim V}$: $(p_{ij}(g), P_{KR}) = \frac{S_{ik}S_{ji}}{\dim V}$

(3) and (4) aid in proving (1) and (2). (3) Let V, W be non-isomorphic representations of G Leman and fallnear map V->W. Then, Sc gfg dg = 0. (4) If V is an irreducible representation and f is a linear map V > V, then Sgfg-dg=(tr(f)) id, Pf (3+y) Let f= lcgfg dg. I commutes with the action of G = hf h = f, Chg) f(hg) dy = f Using Schur's Lemma, you get two possibilities for F. Either J=O (W=V) or J= Zid (W=V). Since tr(gfg-1)=tr(f) => tr f=trf. Hence, $\lambda = \left(\frac{\text{tr}(\mathcal{F})}{\dim(V)}\right)$ id Big Picture: Irreducible representations enable us to construct orthonormal sets of Functions on the group. Problem: The sets of Finations depend on your Choice of busis. Solution. We can kverage the character of a representation to circumment this issue.

Def j A character of a representation Vis the function on the group defined by $\chi_{V}(g) = tr_{v} p(g) = \sum P_{ii}^{V}(g).$ (Clearly doesn't depend on your) choice of busis. lemma: (1) Let V=C be the trivial representation. Then, Xv = 1. $(2)\chi_{V \oplus W} = \chi_{V} + \chi_{W}$ $(3) \chi_{V \otimes W} = \chi_{V} \chi_{W}$ $(4) \times (ghg^{-1}) = \times (h)$ (5) Let V & dual of a representation

The or Hogorality relation for Matrix coefficients immediately immediately implies the following theorem:

Thing alet V, W be non-iromorphic Complex irreducible representations of a compact real Lie group G. Then, the characters are orthogonal with respect to inner product $\langle \chi_{v}, \chi_{w} \rangle = 0$ (1) For any irreducible representation V, $\langle \gamma_{v}, \gamma_{v} \rangle = 1.$ [Think Or Honorma Uty] If we denote, by E, the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of 6, then the set 5xv, ve Eg is an orthonormal family of Functions on G. This is a really important realization as this gives may to many corrollaries, which

will culminate in Peter-Weyl.

Corrolling Let V be a complex representation of a compact real Lie group G. Then, (1) V is irreducible $\iff (\chi_{V},\chi_{V}) = 1$. (2) V can be uniquely in the form V = AniVi, where Vi are pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible representations, and the multiplicities n' are given by $M_{i} = (\chi_{v}, \chi_{v_{i}})$. But this is great! We now have a way to compute these multiplicities he. Unfortunctely, this is only really eur usable for bhite groups and some Very special cuses. Returning to the topic of matrix coefficients of representations: · Can we generalize (* : Check Facts) without chousing a particular busin? - Yes.

Strategy:
Let vev, vev*. We can define a function
on the group
$$Pvev (g)$$
 by
 $Pvev (g) = \langle v^* p(g)v \rangle$.
 $Put simply, say $v = v_j$ and $v^* = v^*_i$.
 $Pvev (g) = \langle v^* p(g)v_j \rangle$ and we can
recover the matrix coefficient $P_i(g)$.
In other words, this means that
For any representation V , we have a map
 $m: V^* \otimes V \rightarrow C^* (G, C)$
 $V^* \otimes v t \rightarrow \langle v^*, p(g)v \rangle$
However, we should also note that
 $V^* \otimes v t \rightarrow \langle v^*, p(g)v \rangle$
However, we should also note that
 $V^* \otimes v t \rightarrow \langle v^*, p(g)v \rangle$
However, we should also note that
 $V^* \otimes V is a G - bimedule.$
 G -module: You have two commuting
actions of G on your space
 $V^* \otimes V.$
If V is Unitary, then the inner product
defines an inner product on V^* .$

We can define an inner product on $V^* \otimes V$ by $(V_1^* \otimes W_1, V_2^* \otimes W_2) = \frac{1}{\dim V} (V_1^*, V_2^*) (w_1, w_2)$

$$\frac{\operatorname{Thm}}{\operatorname{Classus}} \operatorname{Let} \widehat{\mathcal{G}} \text{ by the set of isomorphism} \\ \operatorname{Classus} \operatorname{of} \operatorname{irreducible representations} \operatorname{of} \mathcal{G}. \\ \operatorname{Pethet} \operatorname{He} \operatorname{map} m: \bigoplus \bigvee_{i \in \mathcal{G}} \bigvee_{i \in \mathcal{G}} \bigvee_{i \in \mathcal{G}} (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}) \\ \operatorname{by} m(v \ll ov)(g) = \langle v^{*}, p(g) v \rangle \\ \\ \operatorname{Thsn}, \\ (1) \operatorname{The} \operatorname{mup} m \operatorname{Is} a \operatorname{morphism} \operatorname{of} \mathcal{G} - \operatorname{bimodulos:} \\ m((gv^{*}) \otimes v) = Lg(m(v \otimes ov)) \\ \operatorname{m}(v^{*} \otimes gv) = \operatorname{Rg}(m(v^{*} \otimes v)) \\ \operatorname{uherr} Lg \operatorname{ond} \mathcal{R}_{S} ur \operatorname{Ieff} and \operatorname{vight} actions \\ \cdot (L_{g} \mathcal{G})(h) = \mathcal{F}(g^{-1}h) \\ \cdot (R_{g} f)(h) = \mathcal{F}(g^{-1}h) \\ \cdot (R_{g} f)(h) = f(g^{-1}h) \\ \cdot (R_{g} f)(h) = f(g \otimes v_{2}) \\ \\ (v_{1} \otimes v_{2}, v_{2}^{*} \otimes w_{2}) = \frac{1}{\operatorname{odim}} V(v_{1}^{*}, v_{2}^{*})(w_{1}, w_{2}) \\ \\ (w_{1} \otimes v_{2}, v_{2}^{*} \otimes w_{2}) = \frac{1}{\operatorname{odim}} V(v_{1}^{*}, v_{2}^{*})(w_{1}, w_{2}) \\ \\ \operatorname{and} \operatorname{Inmer} \operatorname{product} \operatorname{in} C^{o}(\mathcal{G}) \operatorname{by} \\ (f_{1} / f_{2}) = \int_{\mathcal{G}} f_{1}(g) f_{2}(g) dg. \\ \end{aligned}$$

Corollary The set of characters &Xv, VEBY is an orthonormal busis of the space of consvyction-inversiont functions on G. E-V: (Farrier Analysis) Take G=5=R/2. The Hear measure on G is given by dx (Shown) and the irreducible representations are parameteried by Z. HEER We have a one-dimensional representation Vx with the action of S' given by pla] = e The corresponding matrix coefficients are the same as the character and is given by Xn(a) = e^{20Tika}. The orthogonality relation of a previous theorem tolls us fiernikx erila dx = fre. Intuition - Poter-Weyl is telling us Hut Le 2TTikey form an orthonormal basis of L²(S', dx), which is the foundation of protty much all of Fourier Anglysis Every L' function on S' can be rewritten as $f(\alpha) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} C_k e^{2\pi i k \cdot \alpha}$ which converges in L2 metric. In short studying the structure of L²(C) gives us insight into and generalizes Harmanic Analysis / Farrier Analysic